Prescribed by the Department of Local Government Finance Approved by the State Board of Accounts

SEP 1 0 2015

TY CLERK

ORDINANCE OR RESOLUTION FOR APPROPRIATIONS AND TAX RATE

Ordinance Number:

Be it ordained/resolved by the City Council that for the expenses of TERRE HAUTE CIVIL CITY for the year ending December, 31, 2015 the sums herein specified are hereby appropriated and ordered set apart out of the several funds herein named and for the purposes herein specified, subject to the laws governing the same. Such sums herein appropriated shall be held to include all expenditures authorized to be made during the year, unless otherwise expressly stipulated and provided for by law. In addition, for the purposes of raising revenue to meet the necessary expenses of TERRE HAUTE CIVIL CITY, the property tax levies and property tax rates as herein specified are included herein. Budget Form 4-B for all funds must be completed and submitted in the manner prescribed by the Department of Local Government Finance.

This ordinance/resolution shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and approval by the City Council.

Name of Adopting Entity / Fiscal Body	Type of Adopting Entity / Fiscal Body:	Date of Adoption (7)
City Council	Common Council and Mayor	10/09/2014

Fund Code	Fund Name	Adopted Budget	Adopted Tax Levy	Adopted Tax Rate		
0101	GENERAL	\$34,493,638	\$29,461,850	1.8482		
0341	FIRE PENSION	\$2,672;916	\$600,000	0.0376		
0342	POLICE PENSION	\$3,002,116	\$0	0.0000		
0706	LOCAL ROAD & STREET	\$585,000	\$0	0,0000		
0708	MOTOR VEHICLE HIGHWAY	\$4,327,513	\$535,200	0.0336		
1301	PARK & RECREATION	\$2,756,959	\$2,757,000	0.1729		
1310	PARK NONREVERTING - CAPITAL	\$200,000	\$0	0.0000		
2120	CEMETERY	\$644,868	\$750,000	0.0470		
2379	CUMULATIVE CAPITAL IMP (CIG TAX)	\$155,000	\$0	0.0000		
2391	CUMULATIVE CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT	\$1,705,000	\$1,000,000	0.0627		
2411	ECONOMIC DEV INCOME TAX CEDIT	\$6,230,000	\$0	0.0000		
6301	TRANSPORTATION	\$3,051,541	\$1,000,000	0.0627		
		\$59,824,551	\$36,104,050	2.2647		

Fund Code	Fund Name	Adopted Budget
9500	Rea Park	\$679,356
9501	Engineering Non-Reverting	\$1,173,731
9511	Fire Training Academy Non-Reverting	\$94,858
9528	Hulman Links	\$885,504
9562	WASTEWATER TREATMENT	\$23,024,182
9563	WASTEWATER CAPITAL	\$0
9570	EMS	\$1,805,192
9571	Fire Non-Reverting	\$166,270
9579	HAZ-MAT	\$12,300
	(4.1	\$27,841,393

Name		Signature in
Robert All	Aye ∰ Nay ☐ Abstain ☐	Robert Cell
AmyAulen	Aÿe 🔼 Noy 🖂 Abstaire 🖂	Offmy Hills !
George Azar	Aye 🔀 Nay 🗍 Abstain 🗋	96/an
James Chalos	Aye	March 1
Neil Garńson	Aye □ Nay ☑ Abstain □	Haldson
Norman Loudemilk	Aye Nay 🗋 Abstain 🗍	House & Jalia
Don Morris	Aye ⊠ Nay □ Abstain □	Hondermarsis
John Mullican:	Aye _\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Lyll-
Todd Nation	Aye D Nay S Abstain D	John Tim
ATTIESTE E		January Control of the Control of th
Nème()	Deputy Clerk	MichelleCdwards
WAYOR'ACTION (For City	/uselonly)	Figure Scientific Scientific
Name	Approve D	Date Signature Code

9/10/15

Members of the City Council:

At the end of every annual budget vote you are immediately asked to sign page 2 of Budget Form 4 and record your vote. Have you ever seen page 1 of Budget Form 4? Here it is. I realize you have not had a chance to look it over but allow me to provide my interpretation.

My reading tells me that your signature and recorded vote covers the 12 DLGF Reviewed Funds and the 9 Home-Ruled Funds that are listed on page 1. If you disagree with my interpretation after you have developed your own interpretation, let me know.

My interpretation troubles me because I do not recall when all these budgets were deliberated by Council and Council motions were made, seconded and voted upon by Council. Of course I recall discussions about the general fund budgets. Perhaps one or two of the others were discussed, but I just don't recall.

If my interpretation is accurate then I recommend all these funds be discussed and ultimately voted upon by Council.

In addition, I had previously shared with you the information I obtained from DLGF concerning the revenue associated with these funds. Before you approve the expenditures, you should be comfortable that the revenue to fund the expenditures will be present. I believe the various Form 2's concerning revenue should be reviewed and accepted.

9/10/15

12/31/2014 General Fund Cash Balance City of Terre Haute

(D) (E)	Balance 12/31/2014 (D) ÷ (C)	27,695,704 82.2%	15,519,369 48.8%	13,580,608 37.8%	12,883,000 27.0%	8,592,933 34.3%	7,067,335 20.8%	7,014,682 27.5%	6,232,865 42.9%	1	2,075,521 6.8%	1,527,726 5.5%	(5,482,504) A14.7%	(6,166,940) -7.6%	(30,109,954) -53.2%	
(D)		↔														
General Fund	2014 Disbursements	\$ 33,705,882	31,785,027	35,936,123	47,750,436	25,040,865	33,999,032	25,470,958	14,525,687	29,200,495	30,628,572	27,695,042	37,171,149	81,168,705	56,606,127	
(B)	2014 Receipts	\$ 34,640,720	32,706,576	36,453,421	40,687,803	24,129,912	35,411,179	27,274,734	14,916,174	28,150,832	28,311,069	24,676,088	36,175,447	79,037,808	60,325,174	
(A) +	Balance 1/1/2014	\$ 26,760,866	14,597,821	13,063,310	19,945,634	9,503,886	5,655,188	5,210,906	5,842,378	4,795,860	4,393,024	4,546,680	(4,486,801)	(4,036,043)	(33,829,000)	(883,747)
	Population	51,265	45,775	56,540	56,895	70,316	82,575	45,929	53,665	55,670	47,989	47,135	61,025	78,450	78,967	70,373
	City	Elkhart	Columbus	Noblesville	Kokomo	Muncie	Bloomington	Jeffersonville	Greenwood	Anderson	Mishiwaka	Lawrence	Terre Haute	Gary	Hammond	Lafayette

Note: 1. This page in order of Column (D). Balance 12/31/2014. 2. Information obtained from DLGF website.

A. In addition to this deficit, the City owes \$2,745,000 on its TAW loan at 12/31/14. Total deficit is \$8,227,504.

Members of the City Council:

The last six weeks I have attended a number of meetings during which the pooling of cash balances for banking purposes was discussed. These meetings included the City Council, The Department of Redevelopment Board and The Board of Sanitary Commissioners. This frequent mention of cash pooling caused me to realize that no one outside of City Administration sees the complete details of pooled cash. The monthly financial reports that you receive include a cash statement but this does not provide pooling details for the two pooled bank accounts that are used.

Consider the following concerning pooling of cash:

- A. Do you think the pooled cash balance might have been pretty low in May, 2012 when you approved the first tax anticipation warrant loan?
- B. Do you think the pooled cash balance might have been pretty low in April and May of 2014 when Controller's office took control of the Department of Redevelopment bank accounts and transferred money from some of these accounts to the pooled bank accounts?
- C. Do you think pooled cash balances were pretty low when Sodrel Fuels paid the City advance rent of \$750,000 in the spring of 2015?
- D. Do you think pooled cash balances were pretty low throughout the spring and early summer of 2015 based upon the number of unpaid vendors and the amounts owed to them that were reported in the newspaper?
- E. Do you think pooled cash balances were pretty low at May 31, 2015 when the May 31, 2015 cash statement reported a general fund cash deficit of \$13,456,000? This does not include the \$987,000 advance property disbursement received in May or the \$2,745,000 tax anticipation warrant loan balance. These amounts total \$17,188,000.
- F. Do you think pooled cash balances were pretty low from mid-April 2015 when the Indiana Finance Authority first asked for the past due debt service and bond and interest payments to be made until late June, 2015 when they were finally made?

Consider the following points that will or may impact pooled cash balances in the future:

- 1. To satisfy the Indiana Finance Authority, the cash balances of the Waste Water Utility and the Sanitary District will have to be pulled from the general cash pool and placed in their own separate pool. It was stated at the recent Board of Sanitary Commissioners meeting that the proceeds from up to \$6.5 million in borrowings are needed to accomplish this and satisfy the Indiana Finance Authority.
- 2. The \$2.745 million tax anticipation warrant loan comes due December 31, 2015. Will a lender be located in 2016 and beyond for a similar loan?

9/10/15

ک

- 3. As of July 31, 2015, only 5 months of trash fee bills appear in the budget status report of the Board of Works as paid. This compares to 7 months in the July 31, 2014 budget status report. The difference of two months represents about \$436,000. I know an American Express card is out there but "paid" is "paid".
- 4. I do not know when the general fund will receive the \$2,700,000 PILOT payment for 2015 and the proposed \$5,000,000 PILOT payment for 2016. This timing certainly impacts cash flow.

Do you think a major culture change is needed to begin to refill the pooled cash balances?

If yes, approve 2016 budgets that will result in surpluses in as many funds as possible that are as large as they can possibly be. (It will take many years for the general fund cash balance to recover.) One way to look at this is to determine what % of total conservative 2016 projected revenue should be spent and what % should not be spent so the pooled cash balance improves. For example:

Total conservative 2016 projected revenue of all funds except #620 WWU	55,000,000	100%	\$ 55,000,000	100%
Spendable	49,500,000	90%	<u>51,150,000</u>	93%
Increase pooled cash balance	\$ 5,500,000	10%	\$ <u>3,850,000</u>	7%

The July 31, 2015 Cash Balance Comparison schedule that follows is interesting to me. Please review it at your convenience and form your own conclusion about whether or not pooled cash balances are low at July 31, 2015. Also consider how much more difficult it may be to pay bills in the future with July 31, 2015 balances that are \$6,595,441 lower than July 31, 2014 balances.

July 31, 2015 Cash Balance Comparison City of Terre Haute

			~~	~	4	←		←	>	->-	» <i>→</i>	' →
7/31/15	S (8 620,018) (2.745,000) (1:17365,018)	(231,573)	(2.84,715) (739,097)	24.3.25.4	254,650	(407,696) (10,080g	(12,350,116)	608.261 \$ \$84.035	1,331,835	3.314.610	2012 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	\$ (8,519),751)
1/31/15	\$ (7,611,558) (2,745,000) (10,356,558)	347,283	416,804 (282,904)	388,496	(5,247)	(485,868) 132,762	(9,845,232)	334,777 462,140	2,015,676	(3,169,278)	485,975	2,350,655 2,972,053 \$ (5,191,254)
7/30/2014	4 4 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$003 ***********************************	(235,475) ************************************	FR (F15.81, 661	1,4,420,311,	(531,930) 1, 194,1127	(8,851.385)	18:00076 11:0368:074	. 2.009:16:	(2.005.134)	2667 985 2668 87 80 48	2,350,655 4,216,996 15(1,924,310)
1/31/2014	\$ (1,774,608) (4,995,000) (6,769,608)	(14,112)	(332,441) (838,574)	664,516	579,824	(602,592) 355,694	(7,387,293)	917,731	1,574,535	(2,795,236)	750,118	2,350,655 3,682,748 \$(1,187,847)
7/31/2013	\$ (2,450,100) \\\(\(\),470,100)	414,058	(238,253) (984,467)	679,624	588.0479	(411,094) (491,885	(783603900)	1,320年188	130173	(2,622,681)	1,002,486 (6,420,410)	14,307,98 <u>2</u> S :::26,227
	101 N/A	205 N/A	204	292	270	702 703		201	718	288	742	200
15	General TAW Loan Subtotal	Cemetery Cemetery Loan	Parks and Recreation Transit	Engineering N/R	EMS N/R	Fire Pension Police Pension	Subtotal	Motor Vehicle Highway Local Road & Street	Group Health N/R	Hulman Links N/R	Parks Project Fund Subtotal	Rainy Day Fund EDIT Total

Schedule includes operating funds plus non-reverting funds and other funds considered to be available for operating purposes/or operating related improvements.

Observation: 1. Decrease in total of nearly \$6.6 million from 7/31/14 to 7/31/15 noted.

2. 7/31/15 vs. 7/31/14 13 Decreases - ↓

2 Increases -

1 No change - - 3. See attached graph.

9/10/15

